

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,352	09/30/2003	James P. Luther	HE0207	9397
21495 750	90 08/18/2005		EXAMINER	
CORNING CABLE SYSTEMS LLC			KALIVODA, CHRISTOPHER M	
P O BOX 489 HICKORY, NC 28603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2883	
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		\$1	1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/675,352	LUTHER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Christopher M. Kalivoda	2883	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH titte, cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed i0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Ot	<u>3 July 2005</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	•	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-20 and 23-35 is. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,21 and 22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	are withdrawn from considerati	on.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the containing the oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance rection is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in App priority documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
	AN_		
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/30/2005. 	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/675,352

Art Unit: 2883

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of species A, embodiment 1, without traverse in a paper submitted on July 8, 2005 is acknowledged. The requirement is still deemed proper and therefore made final.

Claims 5-20 and 23-35 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on July 8, 2005.

Furthermore, Examiner agrees claim 1 is generic and inadvertently indicated it appeared not generic in the previous office action.

Claims 1-4, 21 and 22 are related to Species A, Embodiment 1 or generic and were examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cairns, U.S. Patent 6,439,778.

Regarding independent claims 1, 21 and 22, Cairns teaches a fiber optic connector (Fig 3 and 4 are left and right half of connector) comprising: a multi-fiber

Art Unit: 2883

ferrule (col 4, lines 7-9 and Fig 3, ref sign 18) movably disposed within the connector (col 4, lines 26-29) having an end face (Fig 3, ref sign 34) and an opposed rear face (Fig 3, ref sign 30, left side), the ferrule having a plurality of optical fiber bores extending therethrough (col 4, lines 10-15) for receiving the end portions of respective optical fibers adjacent the end face, the end face defining a plane that is generally perpendicular to each of the optical fiber bores (Fig 3, ref sign 34), the ferrule further having at least one guide pin hole (Fig 3, ref sign 36) for receiving a guide pin (Fig 4, ref sign 84) to align the multi-fiber ferrule with a mating multi-fiber ferrule (Fig 4, ref sign 64), the guide pin hole defining an axis that is parallel to each of the optical fiber bores (Fig 3, ref sign 36 and 54, the axis of which are both parallel to each other), the fiber optic connector defining a longitudinal axis that is generally parallel to the axis defined by the guide pin hole or fiber bores (Fig 3, ref sign 36, the axis of which is parallel to longitudinal axis of connector which is the same as the ribbon fiber 54) and at least one force centering element or means (col 4, lines 15-20 and Fig 3, ref sign 44 curved portion) for applying a resultant biasing force to the ferrule in the direction of the longitudinal axis or axial direction parallel to each of the optical fiber bores such that the ferrule is not subjected to a moment about a lateral axis defined by the end face of the ferrule and generally perpendicular to the longitudinal axis.

While the reference does not explicitly state "moment about a lateral axis", it is obvious or well known to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that a moment is also known as a torque.

Art Unit: 2883

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have at least one force centering element or means for applying a resultant biasing force to the ferrule in the direction of the longitudinal axis or axial direction parallel to each of the optical fiber bores such that the ferrule is not subjected to a moment about a lateral axis defined by the end face of the ferrule and generally perpendicular to the longitudinal axis.

The motivation is to reduce the risk of misalignment between optical contacts and avoid torque or twisting forces which may result in improper alignment (col 1, lines 61-65).

Regarding claim 2, there is a spring seat (Fig 3, ref sign 44) having a forward portion (Fig 3, ref sign 48) that engages the rear face of the ferrule and a rearward portion (Fig 3, refs sign 44 curved portion) opposite the forward portion and wherein the rearward portion comprises the at least one force centering element.

Regarding claim 3, the force-centering element is disposed medially on the rearward portion (since it's symmetric) and comprises a protrusion that extends outwardly from the rearward portion (Fig 44, curved portion).

Regarding claim 4, the protrusion engages a coil spring (Fig 3, ref sign 40) that exerts the biasing force on the ferrule and wherein the forward portion engages the rear face of the ferrule to transfer the biasing force to the ferrule(Fig 3, ref sign 48).

Application/Control Number: 10/675,352

Art Unit: 2883

Conclusion

Page 5

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent 6,648,520 describes a fiber optic connector comprising a multi-fiber ferrule with end faces and defining a plurality of optical fiber bores extending therethrough. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Kalivoda whose telephone number is (571) 272-2476. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:30 - 5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(*WE-*Cmk 08/15/05

> KAVEH KIANNI PRIMARY EXAMINER